DECISION FORM



Particulars of offence
Player's Name: Goran Novaković
Player's number: 1
Player's union: Montenegro
Competition: Conference
Venue: Demush Mavraj Stadium, Istog Date of match: 29/09/2024
Rules to apply: Regulation 17 World Rugby Handbook
Referee Name: Galajevs (AR's Taljaard (KOS) and Kovacevic (MNE))
Plea: ⊠ Admitted □ Not admitted
Offence: ⊠ Red card □ Citing □ Other
If "Other" selected, please specify:
Hearing details
Chairperson / JO: Gert-Mark Smelt (NED)
Other Members of the Disciplinary Panel:
- Dany Roelands (BEL)
- Brendan Flanagan (IRL)
Hearing date: 3/10/2024
Hearing venue: Remote via MS Teams
Appearance Player: ⊠ Yes □ No
Appearance Union: ⊠ Yes □ No
Player's Representative(s): Milan Kovacevic
Other attendees: David Baird-Smith, Rugby Europe
List of documents/ materials considered by the Panel:
1. Game sheet
2. Red card reports from Referee and Central Referee
3. Video clip of the incident
4. Statement from the player
Company of acceptial alamanta of citing / Defense/a non-out / Incident fortage
Summary of essential elements of citing / Referee's report / Incident footage
The referee reports a fight in two places, not far from each other. He sent off the Player after discussion with the Kosovar AR for a punch to the face with a closed fist. The Kosovar AR reports a scuffling of many players and
clearly seeing a player of the Montenegrin team hitting an opposite player in the face.
steam, seeing a player of the momentegrin team meting an opposite player in the race.
The video footage shows the most noteworthy one on the touchline. The Player can be seen arriving later during
the skirmish. He punches an opposite player.
Essential elements of other evidence (e.g. medical reports)
N/a

Summary of player's evidence

The pre-hearing submission stated the player did not contest the decision. At the hearing he admitted his action was an act of foul play, warranting a red card.

At the hearing he also stated that he hit the body of the player of the opposite team. In so far he contests head contact having occurred.

In his written submission and at the hearing he states that he reacted to his team mate being attacked and punched in the face by players of the opposing team. His first instinct was to protect him.

Findings of fact

The Panel finds that the Player punched an opposite player.

When contesting the head contact it is up to player to prove that it is more likely than not that the head contact for which he was sent off did not occur.

Having reviewed the video footage the Panel finds that it substantiates the report of the AR (see insert, the Player being the person with his right arm stretched out).



Although the other AR reports a punch to the body, that is, given the other evidence, not enough for the Player to meet his burden of proof. The sending off report, with the findings of the other AR as an integral part of it, stands.

In conclusion the Panel finds that it was an act of foul play, warranting a red card, and constitutes physical abuse, with head contact (Law 9.12).

Decision	
Decision	
☑ Proven ☐ Not proven ☐ Other disposal (please state)	

SANCTIONING PROCESS

Assessment of seriousness As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Reg	ulations and Regulations 17	7 of World Rugby		
Assessment of intent:				
☑ Intentional/deliberate ☐ Reckle.	SS			
Reasons for finding as to intent:				
The action is by its nature intentiona	l.			
Nature of actions				
Punch to the head face.				
Existence of provocation:				
No direct provocation of the Player h	imself.			
Whether player retaliated:				
The Player says to have reacted to a p	ohysical attack on hi	s team mate.		
Self-defence:				
N/a				
Effect on victim:				
N/a				
Effect on match:				
The Player ran into and then contributed to an altercation that disrupted the match.				
Vulnerability of victim:				
The head is a vulnerable part of the b	ody.			
Level of participation / premeditation:				
N/a				
Conduct completed / attempted:				
Completed				
Other features of player's conduct:				
N/a				
Entry point				
Low-end Weeks	Mid-range	Weeks	Top end	Weeks
Reasons for selecting entry point:				
Contact to the head or neck carries a	mandatory mid ran	ge entry point. Th	e Panel finds no rea	son to apply a
top end entry point.	,	J, p		

Relevant off-field mitigating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby		
Acknowledgement of guilt and timing:	Player's disciplinary record / good character:	
The Player admitted the foul play before and at the	The Player has no disciplinary record. Mr Kovacevic	
hearing.	attested that this was out of character for the Player.	
Youth and inexperience of player:	Conduct prior to and at hearing:	

Confidential - ©Rugby Europe Page 3/5

N/a - although he hasn't played for many years yet, he has been following his brothers rugby career for years now.	Good.
Remorse and timing of Remorse	Other off-field mitigation:
The player showed remorse in writing and at the hearing, notwithstanding the justification he thinks to	N/a

Number of weeks deducted: 3
Summary of reason for number of weeks deducted:
The combined factors merit full mitigation.

Additional relevant off-field aggravating factors As per Article 4.5 of Rugby Europe Disciplinary Regulations and Regulations 17 of World Rugby
Player's status as an offender of the Laws of the Game:
N/a
Need for deterrence:
N/a
Any other off-field aggravating factors:
N/a

Number of additional weeks: None	
Summary of reason for number of weeks added:	

Page **4/5**

SANCTION

NOTE: Players ordered off or cited by a citing commissioner are provisionally suspended pending the hearing of their case, such suspension should be taken into consideration when sanctioning - RE Discipline Regulations 4.1.4 / 4.4 (or equivalent Tournament rule)

Total sanction: 3 weeks	☐ Sending off sufficient
Sanction commences: with the sending off (provisional suspension)	
Sanction concludes: 27 October 2024	
Matches/ tournaments included in sanction:	
5 October – Montenegro – Bosnia;	
15 October – club match, national level;	
22 October – club match, national level.	
Costs: N/a	
Signature	
Name of the Chairman: Gert-Mark Smelt	
Date: 7 October 2024	
Signature (JO or Chairman):	

NOTE: You have 48 hours from notification of the decision of the chairman/jo to lodge an appeal with the tournament director – RE Discipline Regulations 4.6.2 (or equivalent Tournament rule)